U.S. Application No. 10/635,255 - Filed: August 6, 2003

Amendment Dated: January 10, 2005

Reply to Office Action Dated: October 29, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action dated October 29, 2004, the Examiner has rejected Claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, and rejected Claims 1-7 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b). Further, the Examiner has kindly indicated that Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. By this paper, Claim 6 has been amended to more particularly point out that which the Applicants regard as the invention by including the allowable subject matter of Claim 8 (now cancelled). Further, Claims 1 and 3 have been cancelled without prejudice. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that amended independent Claim6 and Claims 2, 4, 5, 7, and 9 dependent thereon, which are the claims remaining in this Application are now allowable.

Applicants are not aware of any additional patents, publications, or other information not previously submitted to the Patent and Trademark Office which would be required under 37 C.F.R. §1.99.

This Application is now believed to be in condition for favorable reconsideration and early allowance, and such actions are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Lawrence P. Kessler

Registration No. 24,637

LPK:cvn

Attachment(s)

Telephone No. (585) 253-0123

Facsimile No.

(585) 477-4646